## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

Eastern District of Michigan	
United States of America  v.  Georod Edward Lunsford  Defendant  Defendant  O  Case No. 17-30142  O  Case No. 17-30142	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or  Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of far and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:	
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or	
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:  (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and	
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rist to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>	

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:		
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);		
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;		
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;		
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or		
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above		
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR		
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  — Prior criminal history		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment		

## 2:17-mj-30142-DUTY Doc # 9 Filed 04/03/17 Pg 3 of 3 Pg ID 19

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

This is a presumption case. Defendant is a 34 year old male charged with Distribution of Fentanyl/Carfentamil Resulting in Death. Defendant is employed at a car wash making \$433/month. He and his girlfriend live together with their six children. Defendant's criminal record includes three convictions for controlled substance delivery/possession/trafficking (2014, 2012, 2007). In addition he has 18 outstanding warrants for failures to appear, 86 failures to comply with traffic court orders, 51 failures to attend traffic court matters, and two misdemeanor convictions for open intoxicants and one misdemeanor conviction for Fraudulant/False Insurance Information. The instant case charges that Defendant, a known drug dealer, in October 2016 sold a father and his son and another friend what they thought was heroin, but was actually Fentanyl/Carfentamil. All three did a "bump" in the car, and the driver crashed the car. When the police arrived they saw the two unresponsive front passengers overdosed and administered Narcan. The 18 year old boy who was in the back seat was also unresponsive, not breathing, and was transported Detroit Receiving Hospital where he ultimately died. The two surviving men are cooperating witnesses. Two controlled buys were set up where Defendant again sold them what was supposed to be heroin but when field tested turned out to be Fentanyl/Carfentanyl (11/16 and 1/17). Pretrial Services interviewed the Defendant and concludes that detention is appropriate based on risk of flight and danger. This Court agrees that Defendant's dismal failure to appear record, which includes several failures to appear at his own contempt hearings, and failures to comply with court orders, renders him a risk of flight. Defendant's prior drug felony convictions are similar in nature to the instant charges, renders him a danger to the community. The statutory factors have been reviewed, and this Court finds that there is no condition or combination of conditions which would assure Defendant's appearance or the safety of the community. The presumption of detention has not been rebutted. Detention is ordered.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: April 3, 2017	s/Mona K. Majzoub
	Judge's Signature
	Mona K. Majzoub, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Name and Title